

May 9, 1975

which is the existing standard. The fiscal impact, the primary fiscal impact that the cities were objecting too was the...change where it did make it possible for them to retire at 50. That is not in the bill. The fiscal impact that remains in the bill right now would be the requirement that they pay interest on the members share. His contributions which they do not pay right now. That would have some minimal impact if somebody pulls their does not complete the 21 years of service and elects to draw out their contributions. That would be the only real significant change, as far as fiscal impact is concerned.

SEN. KELLY: Then what Senator Hasebroock was saying is not correct that were staying at..

SENATOR ANDERSON: We are staying at 55 as I understand the committee amendment, were staying at 55. That is taken out of the bill.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: I think that I was in error, pardon me. I was in error I was thinking of another bill that were going to take up shortly and this one is that it remains at 55. I just checked it.

SENATOR KELLY: Then the increase in the expense to the first class city is that the employee should quite before his retirement that he will receive interest on the money that he has invested instead of just returning his capital.

SENATOR ANDERSON: He will receive 5% interest on his contribution.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson, do you want to close debate. The question is...excuse me it is your motion Senator Hasebroock.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: Yes, it is my motion that the amendments be adopted.

PRESIDENT: Fine, and you have nothing further to say Senator Hasebroock? OK, the adoption of the amendments. Record your votes. Please vote. Senator Syas. Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays.

PRESIDENT: Amendments are adopted. Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the three portions of the bill that remain once the age limit was reinstated or the retirement age was reinstated by the committee amendments are first of all there is a change in the disability language. This clarifies that if a person is disabled so that he can not be a fire fighter that he can not retain his job on the fire department as a fire fighter that he shall for retirement purposes be considered disabled and shall be eligible to receive his retirement income at that point. The second change is the change